


# inspire research chart 01/2024

**How visible is Austrian research funded by different funding organisations in the scientific literature? Are these publications cited more or less frequently than Austrian publications on average?**

Both average visibility and average normalised citation impact of research publications differ markedly depending on who funded the research. ERC, MSCA, FWF and CDG funded Austrian research is cited much more frequently than Austrian publications on average.



**Data:** The Lens Scholarly Works ([www.lens.org/](http://www.lens.org/))  
Calculations and graphics by inspire research, 5 April 2024

**Notes:** Analysis based on funding information available in The Lens publication records; NCI: Normalised Citation Impact by publication year, research subject area and document type (NCI = 1.00 for the „average“ Austrian publication 2013-2022); Average percentile of citation rate: normalised for publication year, research subject area and document type (average for all Austrian publications 2013-2022 = 50); Q1 journals: source with Q1 as best quartile assigned in at least 1 subject category according to SCImago journal rank indicator ([www.scimagojr.com/](http://www.scimagojr.com/)); Abbreviations: CDG (Christian Doppler Research Association), ERC (European Research Council), FWF (Austrian Science Fund), MSCA (Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions).

**Contact:** Anton Geyer ([anton.geyer@inspire-research.at](mailto:anton.geyer@inspire-research.at))